

2:13cv193  
SEPTEMBER 8, 2014THE HONORABLE NELVA GONZALES, U.S. DISTRICT  
FEDERAL JUDGEUnited States Courts  
Southern District of Texas  
FILED

FEDERAL COURT HOUSE SEP 12 2014

1133 NORTH SHORELAND BLVD.

CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS 78401

NOTE: COPY IS  
BEING SENT TO  
THE ELECTIONS  
DIVISION, OFFICE  
OF THE SECRETARY  
OF STATE, ATTN:  
CAROLYN.

DEAR JUDGE GONZALES:

RE: AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF IN THE VOTERID MATTER BEFORE YOUR COURT.

ATTACHED PLEASE FIND DOCUMENTS THAT  
I, JUST A FEW DAYS AGO, SENT TO TRAVIS  
COUNTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY ROSEMARY LEHMBERG.  
JUST NOW I READ IN A WEST TEXAS NEWSPAPER  
THAT THIS ID MATTER IS BEFORE YOUR COURT.  
AS I SEE IT, THERE IS CONSIDERABLE CON-  
FUSION IN THE OFFICE OF THE TEXAS'S SECRE-  
TARY OF STATE AS TO <sup>HOW TO</sup> HANDLE THIS ID SITU-  
ATION. MY POSITION IS THAT IT MUST BE ALL  
OR NONE: IF VOTER ID IS NOT REQUIRED FOR  
VOTING BY MAIL, IT CANNOT BE REQUIRED AT  
THE POLLING PLACES. THE SAFEST TITING IN THIS  
ELECTION YEAR MAY VERY WELL BE TO NOT  
REQUIRE ID AT THE POLLING PLACES. THIS HAS  
BEEN TOO MUCH OF A "KUSH JOB". ALLOW TIME  
FOR THE ELECTION DIVISION OF THE OFFICE  
OF SECRETARY OF STATE TO THINK THIS MATTER  
THROUGH!

RESPECTFULLY YOURS,

COPY TO MS. LEHMBERG,  
ET AL.

C. Phil. June

SEPTEMBER 3, 2014

THE HONORABLE ROSEMARY LEMMBERG  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY FOR TRAVIS COUNTY  
TRAVIS COUNTY COURTHOUSE COPY ALSO TO  
AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701 BRIAN NEWBY

DEAR DISTRICT ATTORNEY LEMMBERG:

ON 9-2-2014, I MAILED TO YOU AND MR. MACLEUM SOME DOCUMENTS THAT I WAS OF THE OPINION WERE RELEVANT TO GOVERNOR PERRY'S SITUATION. ENCLOSED ARE THREE (3) ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS RELATED TO ELECTIONS IN TEXAS, IN ONE OF WHICH GOVERNOR PERRY IS AN EXPLICIT PARTY. THESE ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS ARE

- AN INJUNCTION FILED THE DAY AFTER THE 11-2010 GENERAL ELECTION. THIS INJUNCTION WAS ASSIGNED TO JUDGE LES HATCH'S COURT. I MENTION IT IN MY LETTER OF 9-2-2014 TO YOU. THIS DOCUMENT IS RELATED TO THE 11-2010 RE-ELECTION OF GOVERNOR PERRY.

- A 5-6-2014 DOCUMENT TO THE U. S. SUPREME COURT IN THE FORM OF AN AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF.

- A FOLLOW-UP ADDENDUM TO THE 5-6-2014 BRIEF.

-2-

IN ORDER TO KEEP JUDGE HATCH A/L-  
 LENTED TO MY ACTIONS IN THE MATTER OF  
 THESE ELECTIONS, A COPY OF THIS "COVENANT  
 LETTER" IS GOING TO HIM. HE HAS ALREADY  
 RECEIVED COPIES OF THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENTS.  
 A COPY OF THIS LETTER IS ALSO GOING TO  
 UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA PRESIDENT DAVID  
 BORN. I DO EVERYTHING THAT I CAN TO  
 KEEP HIM INFORMED OF THE IRREGULARITIES  
 OF A LEGAL NATURE THAT INVOLVE MRS.  
 QUACE AND I THAT TAKE PLACE WITHIN THE  
 CITY OF LUBBOCK AND THEREFORE LUBBOCK  
 COUNTY.

WITH THIS LETTER I CONSIDER THESE  
 MATTERS WHICH I PERCEIVE AS "ELECTION  
 IRREGULARITIES" <sup>TRANSFERRED</sup> FROM THE LUBBOCK COUNTY  
 COURTHOUSE TO THE TRAVIS COUNTY COURT-  
 HOUSE. THIS HAS THE ADVANTAGES 1) YOU HANDLE  
 SUCH MATTERS ON A DAY-TO-DAY BASIS; 2) YOU  
 HAVE INVESTIGATIVE POWERS THAT I DO NOT  
 HAVE; AND, 3) THE PROBLEM HAS TO BE "STATE  
 WIDE", THEREFORE NOT RESTRICTED TO LUBBOCK  
 COUNTY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION.

3105 21ST STREET  
 LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79410

RESPECTFULLY YOURS,  
 C. Rich Jones

MAY 6, 2014

TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED  
STATES OF AMERICA

TO CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS, JR.

SUPREME COURT BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20543 ✓

RE: AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF IN THE MATTER  
OF VOTING PRACTICES IN THE STATE  
OF TEXAS, SPECIFICALLY, BUT NOT  
LIMITED TO LUBBOCK COUNTY IN-  
CLUDING USE OF "VOTER ID" AT  
THE POLLS.

COPIES TO DOLOTHY KENNEDY, ELECTION  
JUDGE FOR LUBBOCK COUNTY, TEXAS, AND  
BRIAN NEWBY, FORMER GENERAL  
COUNSEL AND CHIEF OF STAFF TO  
THE GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF TEXAS.

SUBMITTED BY C. RICHARD QUADE; RESIDENCE:  
3105 21ST STREET, LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79410; C.

RICHARD QUADE IS A CITIZEN BY BIRTH OF THE  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. HE HAS BEEN A  
RESIDENT OF LUBBOCK COUNTY AT THE ABOVE  
ADDRESS SINCE 7-1-1965 EXCEPT WHEN ON  
TEMPORARY LEAVE AS A PROFESSOR.

IN A PREVIOUS BRIEF, C.R.Q. POINTED OUT  
TO THE COURT THAT THE VOTING MACHINES

IN USE IN LUBBOCK COUNTY WERE NOT "GROSSLY  
 FRIENDLY" IN A MANNER CONSISTENT WITH PRO-  
 VISION 146A.001 AND ALSO 146A.002(2) FOR "WHITE-  
 IN CANDIDATE" OF THE STATE AS PER ELECTION  
 CODE. ATTACHED IS A COPY OF AN INJUNCTION  
 FILED AFTER THE 11-2013 GENERAL ELECTION  
 THAT WAS ASSIGNED TO THE 237TH DISTRICT  
 COURT OF THE STATE OF TEXAS. THE PETITIONER,  
 GHA, NEVER RECEIVED NOTICE ABOUT HOW AND  
 WHETHER OR NOT THERE WERE FORMAL PROCEEDINGS  
 IN THE COURT. AS A MATTER OF COURTESY,  
 THIS CASE IS ALSO BEING SENT TO THE HON-  
 ORABLE LEO HATCH, JUDGE FOR THE 237TH  
 DISTRICT COURT.

AS A FOUNDATION, ELECTIONS IN THE STATE  
 OF TEXAS ARE ORGANIZED AND SUPERVISED BY  
 THE SECRETARY OF STATE, AN APPOINTEE BY THE  
 GOVERNOR OF TEXAS. RELATIVELY RECENTLY A  
 FORMER SECRETARY TOOK TWO UNUSUAL AC-  
 TIONS:

- SECURED A LIST FROM THE HEAD OF U.S.  
 SOCIAL SECURITY OF INDIVIDUALS WHO WERE  
 PRESUMABLY DECEASED. THE HEAD OF SOCIAL  
 SECURITY INCLUDED A DISCLAIMER THAT THE  
 LISTS WERE NOT ACCURATE. THE SECRETARY  
 USED THIS LIST TO WRITE TO THOSE WHO  
 WERE PRESUMABLY DEAD TO ASK FOR A  
 IF, IN FACT, THEY WERE STILL ALIVE. AGAIN,

IT SEEMS THAT THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD  
 HAVE THE VOTER REGISTRATION ROLLS OF DE-  
 VISED INDIVIDUALS. THIS WILL BE SPoken TO  
 LATER. IN THE MEET, THIS APPROACH TO THE  
 SITUATION OF PURGING VOTER REGISTRATION  
 ROLLS, WAS TAKEN TO COURT AND THE SECRETARY  
 OF STATE LOST.

- SUBSEQUENTLY, BEFORE THE COURT HEARING  
 ON THE BRIEF, THE SECRETARY WENT TO THE  
 HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES TO ASK THE  
 MEMBERS WHO ON THE VOTER REGISTRATION  
 ROLLS WERE NOT CITIZENS OF THE U.S. THE  
 HEAD OF HOMELAND SECURITY SEEMED TO  
 COOPERATE. THIS MATTER OF "VOTER ELIGI-  
 BILITY" MADE IT TO YOUR COURT AND YOU  
 "THREW IT BACK TO THE STATES" - A DECISION  
 WHICH QUESTIONED IF NOT AN INDIVIDUAL WAS  
 ELIGIBLE FOR PRACTICAL REASONS SINCE, IN AN-  
 OTHER MATTER BEFORE YOUR COURT, THE  
 U.S. SOLICITOR GENERAL POINTED OUT THAT,  
 WHEN IT COMES TO U.S. CITIZENSHIP, THE  
 U.S. GOVERNMENT CAN ONLY CERTIFY TO  
 THOSE WHO HAVE PASSPORTS SINCE, FOR EX-  
 AMPLE, BIRTHS ARE REGISTERED AT THE  
 STATE AND NOT THE FEDERAL LEVEL. CLAR-  
 ENCE THOMAS AND ONE OTHER(?) DISSENTED  
 FROM THE POINT OF VIEW THAT THE FEDERAL  
 GOVERNMENT SHOULD NOT DEFAULT TO THE



STATES WHEN ENFORCING FEDERAL LAW.

IN THE LAST TWO ELECTIONS IN WHICH HE HAS PARTICIPATED, CRA HAS "VOTED BY MAIL" ON A PAPER BALLOT AND HAS WRITTEN IN NAMES, POINTING OUT PROVISION 146A.001 OF THE TEXAS ELECTION CODE. HE HAS NO IDEA AS TO HOW THESE BALLOTS ARE/WERE HANDLED. THERE ARE TWO BASES "TO VOTE BY MAIL:"

- ABSENTEE, AND
- OVER AGE 65 YEARS.

THE OVER 65 YEARS OF AGE SEEMS TO BE HANDLED THIS WAY FOR MOST: EARLY IN AN ELECTION YEAR THE INDIVIDUAL, BY MAIL, GETS A REQUEST "DO YOU WANT TO VOTE BY MAIL IN THIS YEAR'S ELECTIONS RATHER THAN GOING TO THE POLLS?" ONE RESPONDS BY MAIL AND, IF YES, WILL AUTOMATICALLY GET "MAIL IN BALLOTS"

FOR ALL ELECTIONS FOR THE YEAR. CRA DID NOT RESPOND BUT FOR THE MAY LOCAL GENERAL ELECTION WENT TO THE ELECTIONS OFFICE TO GET THE REQUEST TO PARTICIPATE BY MAIL IN THE REMAINDER OF ELECTIONS. THIS REQUEST HAD TO BE MAILED IN AND THE MAY BALLOT WAS RECEIVED BY MAIL AND SUBMITTED BY MAIL, AT NO POINT WAS "VOTED TO"

REQUIRED! IN TEXAS, "VOTER ID" IS REQUIRED IF ONE "GOES TO THE POLLS" ON ELECTION DAY. AT THIS TIME, IT APPEARS TO CRR THAT "VOTING BY MAIL" IS EASILY SUBJECTED TO "ELECTION FRAUD" AND THIS IS THE PURPOSE OF THIS BRIEF SINCE YOUR COURT HAS RULED IN FAVOR OF "VOTER ID."

AT THE SAME TIME, CRR NOTES TO YOU THAT TEXAS ELECTION CODE IS NOT BEING FOLLOWED IN LUBBOCK COUNTY WITH THE CURRENT VOTING MACHINES IN SITUATIONS OF "WRITING," EVEN IN ELECTIONS FOR FEDERAL OFFICE. SINCE YOUR COURT HAS "KICKED THE MATTER OF HOLDING AND OUSERSIGHT OF ELECTIONS BACK TO THE STATES," SHOULD THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT NOT PROVIDE OVERSIGHT TO ENSURE THAT ELECTIONS ARE HELD IN A MANNER WITH THE STATES' ELECTION CODE?

(NOTE, PAGE 2. DEATHS, AS WELL AS BIRTHS, ARE NOT RECORDED AT THE FEDERAL LEVEL BUT

WITHIN THE STATES. I HAVE OBTAINED DEATH CERTIFICATES, FOR EXAMPLE, AT THE DALLAS, TEXAS CITY HALL AND THE LUBBOCK, TEXAS COUNTY COURT HOUSE. (FURTHER, OUR MARRIAGE LICENSE / CONTRACT IS "ON FILE" IN AN OLLAHOMIA COUNTY COURT HOUSE.)

C. Riel Jones



-10

MAY 15, 2014

TO THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED  
STATES OF AMERICA

TO CHIEF JUSTICE JOHN G. ROBERTS, JR.

SUPREME COURT BUILDING

WASHINGTON, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA 20543

RE: ADDENDUM TO THE AMICUS CURIAE  
BRIEF DATED 5-6-2014 CONCERNING  
2014 VOTING PRACTICES IN THE STATE  
OF TEXAS. THE MAILING LIST IS THE  
SAME AS BEFORE PLUS A COPY TO  
THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR THE  
STATE OF TEXAS. (THE 5-6-2014  
BRIEF HAS ALSO BEEN SENT TO THE  
LUBBOCK CITY COUNCIL AND THE LISO  
BOARD WHOSE "ELECTION DATE" WAS  
5-10-2014).

ATTACHED IS A COPY OF INFORMATION  
SUPPLIED BY THE LUBBOCK COUNTY ELECTIONS  
ADMINISTRATOR. WHEN I BECAME AWARE OF THE  
STATEMENT AT THE BOTTOM OF THE PAGE, I IMM-  
EDIATELY CALLED THE TELEPHONE NUMBER GIVEN.  
I SPOKE WITH A LADY NAMED CAROLYN. THIS  
WAS LAST WEEK BEFORE SATURDAY'S ELECTION

AT THE POLLS. I TOLD HER THAT I WAS CONCERNED

- ABOUT THE LACK OF WRITE-IN PROVISION WHEN VOTING BY MACHINE OR EVEN "MAIL-IN BALLOT." SHE SAID "ONE CAN ONLY VOTE FOR INDIVIDUALS LISTED ON THE BALLOT WHICH WOULD INCLUDE "WRITE-IN CANDIDATES". I TOLD HER THAT I WAS "WRITE-IN" NAMES CONSISTENT WITH PROVISION 146A.001 OF THE TEXAS ELECTION CODE. THE CODE DOES PROVIDE THAT "WRITE-INS" ARE NOT ALLOWED IN RUN-OFFS. SECTION 146B DEALS WITH "WRITE-IN CANDIDATES."

- THAT "VOTING BY MAIL" DID NOT REQUIRE VOTER ID AS WAS REQUIRED AT THE POLLS. IN TEXAS, THE ID PRESENTED MUST BE EXACTLY THE SAME <sup>NAME</sup> AS ON THE "VOTER REGISTRATION CARD." AGAIN, THIS MAIL-IN VOTING EASILY LEADS ITSELF TO "ELECTION FRAUD." IT IS MY OPINION THAT "MAIL-IN VOTING" SHOULD NOT BE ALLOWED FOR THOSE OVER AGE 65 YEARS UNLESS THEY ARE SUFFICIENTLY INCAPACITATED THAT THEY CANNOT GO TO THE POLLS. FOR EXAMPLE, MRS. QUADROS (?? GOING ON ??) AND I (?? GOING ON ??) BEING EVERYWHERE. FOR SOME REASON MRS. QUADROS

STARTED "VOTING BY MAIL," I "VOTE BY MAIL" IN ORDER TO "WRITE-IN" NAMES.

IT IS NOT YET CLEAR TO ME HOW THIS IS GOING TO PLAY OUT. HOWEVER, I PERSONALLY HAVE BEEN HARMED IF

- MY "WRITE-IN" NAMES ARE NOT TABULATED, AND
- ONE PIECE OF VOTING CORRUPTION HAS SET IN VIA THE "VOTING BY MAIL" PROCESS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION. SOMEHOW INCORRECT VOTING PRACTICES HAVE GONE WAY TO FAR OUT OF CONTROL. IN CONCLUSION, I WANT TO POINT OUT THAT IN THE MAYOR'S RACE IN THE CITY OF DALLAS ONLY THE INCUMBENT'S NAME WAS ON THE BALLOT. ALSO ON THIS SPACE OF THE BALLOT WAS THE STATEMENT TO THE EFFECT "THIS INDIVIDUAL IS ALREADY ELECTED SINCE HE IS UNOPPOSED." FURTHER, DALLAS OFFICIALS ARE IN THE PRACTICE OF INTIMIDATING PEOPLE FROM RUNNING FOR ELECTIVE OFFICE, SUCH TO THE EXTENT OF HAVING THEM WITHDRAW AFTER FILING.

(OVER)

C. R. [Signature]

NOTE: CIRCUMSTANCES, A MAYOR OF LUBBOCK  
WAS ELECTED VIA "WRITE-IN," NOT AS A  
"WRITE-IN CANDIDATE." "A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE"  
FILES FOR OFFICE WHERE-AS "A WRITE-IN"  
DOES NOT. THEREFORE, "A WRITE-IN CANDIDATE"  
CAMPAIGNS FOR OFFICE WHERE-AS, TECHNICALLY  
"A WRITE-IN" DOES NOT. IN THE 1966 MAYOR'S  
RACE, THE SUCCESSFUL INDIVIDUAL WAS  
"OUT OF TOWN" AT ELECTION TIME AND  
RETURNED TO LUBBOCK "SURPRISED" THAT  
HE WAS TO BE THE NEW MAYOR.

CKG

5-12-2014

LISD BOARD, TO THE PRESIDENT  
LUBBOCK CITY COUNCIL, TO THE MAYOR

RE: THE RECENT SCHOOL BOARD AND CITY  
COUNCIL ELECTIONS.

ENCLOSED PLEASE FIND A COPY OF A DOCUMENT THAT I SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT LAST TUESDAY. THE ENCLOSED THAT IS REFERRED TO YOU CAN RETRIEVE FROM THE DISTRICT CLERK'S ARCHIVES YOURSELVES, IF YOU SO DESIRE. THE ONLY THING OF INTEREST TO YOU WOULD BE THE "VOTING MACHINES" WHICH I WILL SPEAK TO IN THIS NOTE.

THE REASON THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS BEING SENT TO YOU IS THAT BOTH LISD AND THE CITY COUNCIL ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR YOUR OWN ELECTIONS. BUT FOR SEVERAL REASONS YOU "CONTRACT OUT" TO THE LUBBOCK COUNTY ELECTION BUREAU. THEREFORE, AT SOME MEETING AFTER LAST SATURDAY'S ELECTION, YOU WILL BE CANVASSING THE RETURNS SUPPLIED TO YOU BY THE LUBBOCK COUNTY ELECTION'S ADMINISTRATOR AND THEN CERTIFY THE ELECTIONS, IF YOU ARE SATISFIED WITH HOW THE ELECTIONS WERE RUN, ETC..  
⇒ (COPIES SENT BOTH PLACES.)

SINCE THE LUBBOCK COUNTY ELECTIONS BUREAU REPORTS TO THE TEXAS' SECRETARY OF STATE-- AND YOU DON'T EVEN THOUGH YOU MUST RUN YOUR ELECTIONS CONSISTENT WITH THE TEXAS' ELECTION CODE-- THE LCERB HAS A DIFFERENT STATUS THAN YOU WHEN IT COMES TO HOLDING ELECTIONS. THE LCERB MUST FOLLOW ALL RULES PUT FORWARD BY THE SECRETARY OF STATE, BUT THEY ARE SUBJECT TO CHALLENGE. SO, THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENT IS BEING SENT TO YOU SINCE YOU ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR YOUR OWN ELECTIONS, INDEPENDENT OF LCERB.

NOW FOR SOME BACKGROUND: I FIRST USED THE DIAL-UP VOTING MACHINES IN THE LOCAL ELECTIONS OF MAY, 2006. I DID FIND THEM SOMEWHAT CUMBERSOME AND HAD A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT THEIR OPERATION. IN THE MAY, 2010 LISB BOARD ELECTION I FOUND THEM DEFINITELY CUMBERSOME SINCE MY "BALLOT NAME" NEEDED TO BE DIALED IN. I WAS A "WRITE-IN CANDIDATE" PRESCRIBED BY ELECTION CODE 146A.002, AS I RECALL. IN THE MAY, 2012 CITY COUNCIL ELECTION I WANTED TO VOTE "WRITE-IN" AS PRESCRIBED BY THE 146A.001 SECTION OF THE ELECTION CODE AND



FOUND THAT THE VOTING MACHINES COULD NOT  
HANDLE THAT AT ALL.

SO THAT IS MOST OF THE BACKGROUND ON  
THE 5-6-2014 DOCUMENT TO THE U.S. SUPREME  
COURT. IN PREVIOUS DOCUMENTS I HAVE SPO-  
KEN TO

- THE CUMBERSOME NATURE OF THE VOTING  
MACHINES
- VOTER I.D. REQUIREMENTS AT THE POLLS, AND
- THE NEED FOR SOMETHING LIKE A "NATIONAL  
IDENTITY CARD" SINCE THE FEDERAL GOVERN-  
MENT DOES NOT HAVE A DIRECTORY OF WHO  
IS A CITIZEN. THIS CAME OUT IN THE "ARIZONA  
IMMIGRATION CASE." SO, THAT IS THE NATIONAL  
TO WHICH ASSOCIATE JUSTICE THOMAS OBJECTED  
~~TO~~ -- THE STATES DO HAVE THE RECORDS ON  
CITIZENSHIP VIA BIRTHS WHEREAS THE FEDERAL  
GOVERNMENT DOES NOT!

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION. I CERTAINLY  
HOPE THAT YOU WILL READ THE ENCLOSED DOCU-  
MENT; I DO FOR PEDA GOLOGICAL REASONS IF  
NONE OTHER.

RESPECTFULLY YOURS,

C. Rich. Finkle

11-2-2010  
A REQUEST FOR AN INJUNCTION  
AGAINST THE VOTE COUNT FOR  
TEXAS STATE REPRESENTATIVE FROM  
DISTRICT 83.

PLAINTIFF: C. RICHARD QUADE  
DEFENDENT: DOROTHY KENEAY,  
ELECTION JUDGE,  
LUBBOCK COUNTY,  
TEXAS

? FOR FILING IN THE 130TH DISTRICT?  
COURT OF THE STATE OF TEXAS.

IN THE EARLY DAYS OF "EARLY VOTING"  
FOR THE NOVEMBER 2, 2010 GENERAL  
ELECTION, THE PLAINTIFF ASKED THE  
DEFENDENT IF THE VOTING MACHINES  
FOR LUBBOCK COUNTY COULD HANDLE  
A WRITE-IN VOTE AS PROVIDED FOR  
BY SECTION 146A.001 OF THE STATE  
OF TEXAS ELECTION CODE. THE GIST  
OF THE DEFENDENT'S REPLY WAS  
THAT THE COMPUTER SOFTWARE WAS  
NOT REQUIRED TO HANDLE SUCH VOTING  
BY ORDER OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE  
OF THE STATE OF TEXAS. THE REASON

-2-

FOR THE PLAINTIFFS REQUEST WAS THAT HE DESIRED TO CAST A VOTE FOR HIMSELF FOR THE DISTRICT 83 SEAT IN THE TEXAS HOUSE. AFTER VISITING WITH THE DEFENDENT, HE FIRST BROUGHT THE MATTER TO THE ATTENTION OF ATTORNEY BRIAN NEWBY OF FT. WORTH, WHOM THE PLAINTIFF IS UNDER THE IMPRESSION HAS SERVED AS GOVERNOR PERRY'S GENERAL COUNSEL AND CHIEF OF STAFF-- TWO STATE EXECUTIVE POSITIONS. NEXT THE PLAINTIFF BROUGHT THE MATTER TO THE ATTENTION OF JUDGE PURYEAR OF THIS 137TH DISTRICT COURT. THEN HE BROUGHT THE MATTER TO THE ATTENTION OF FEDERAL JUDGE CUMMINS WITH OFFICE IN LUBBOCK, TEXAS. WHETHER OR NOT THE SOFTWARE FOR THE VOTING MACHINES IN LUBBOCK COUNTY WOULD BE SO-MODIFIED TO ACCOMMODATE WRITE-INS AS PRESCRIBED

BY SECTION 146A.000 OF THE STATE ELECTION CODE, I LEFT IN THE DEFENDENT'S HANDS. I TOLD HER THAT ON NOV. 2, I WOULD APPEAR AT THE APPROPRIATE POLLING PLACE, ASK IF THE VOTING MACHINES WOULD HANDLE WRITE-INS AS PRESCRIBED BY LAW, THAT MORE THAN LIKELY THE LOCAL ELECTION JUDGE WOULD NOT BE KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE MATTER AND THAT THE DEFENDENT WOULD HAVE TO BE ASKED TO CLARIFY THE SITUATION. THAT PATTERN WAS FOLLOWED THIS AFTERNOON BETWEEN 3 AND 4 O'CLOCK AT THE PLAINTIFF'S POLLING PLACE. FURTHER, THE PLAINTIFF DID GET A VOTING "PIN NUMBER" ATTACHED, BUT DID NOT ENTER A VOTING BOOTH.

THIS IS WHAT THE PLAINTIFF IS REQUESTING:

1) THAT THE VOTES FOR STATE OF TEXAS HOUSE SEAT FROM

Clave de Acceso

2274

Date: 11-02-2010  
Time: 15:50:23  
Place: Vote Centers  
Pct: 015

-4-

DISTRICT 83 NOT BE CERTIFIED BY THE DEFENDENT UNTIL THE PLAINTIFF'S VOTE, A WRITE-IN, IS ADDED TO THE VOTE COUNT;

AND 2) THAT THE SOFTWARE FOR THE LUBBOCK COUNTY VOTING MACHINES BE UPGRADED TO ALLOW FOR CONVENTIONAL WRITE-IN BALLOTING, IN THE HISTORICAL SENSE OF THE PHRASE, AS PROVIDED FOR BY SECTION 146A.001 OF THE STATE ELECTION CODE.

THE PLAINTIFF IS WELL-AWARE OF THE CATEGORY "WRITE-IN CANDIDATE" AS DEFINED IN SECTION 146B OF THE STATE ELECTION CODE. HE WAS SUCH A CANDIDATE IN THE SPRING, 2010 LISD SCHOOL BOARD ELECTION. AS AN ASIDE, HE FOUND THE TECHNIQUE ON THE COMPUTER VOTING MACHINES TO BE SO CUMBERSOME

- 5 -

THAT NEITHER CATEGORY OF WRITE-IN -- THE OLD FASHION ONE, HISTORICALLY, PROVIDED FOR BY SECTION 146A NOR THE MORE FORMAL ONE OF SECTION 146B -- ALLOWS FOR SUCH CANDIDATES TO HAVE ANY SUCCESS IN AN ELECTION. OF COURSE, FILING UNDER SECTION 146B DOES ALLOW FOR CAMPAIGN EXPENDITURES, AMONG OTHER THINGS, WHILE 146A DOES NOT.

IF AND WHEN THIS MATTER IS TAKEN UP "IN COURT", THE PLAINTIFF DOES HAVE OTHER SUPPORTING COMMENTS TO MAKE.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED

C. RICHARD QUADE  
3105 21ST STREET  
LUBBOCK, TEXAS 79410



USPS CERTIFIED MAIL

ZIP



420 78401 9507 1000 1940 4252 0000 10

THE HONORABLE NELVA GONZALES, U.S.  
DISTRICT FEDERAL JUDGE  
1133 NORTH SHORELINE BLVD.  
CORPUS CHRISTI, TEXAS

78401-2003

CEC  
3105-21  
79410

TO BE  
OFFERED  
BY PUBLIC AUCTIONS